

Erasmus+ KA2 Knowledge Alliances project "Greening Energy Market and Finance - GrEnFIn"

AGREEMENT NUMBER: 612408
PROJECT NUMBER: 612408 – EPP-1-2019-1-EPPKA2-KA

WP10 – D10.1 - Final reports concerning the internal evaluation of the results:

Evaluation Report of Project Meetings - Fourth Virtual Project meeting M14





Work Package (WP)	WP10 Quality & Evaluation plan
WP Leader	WU
Deliverable Title and Number	WP10 - D10.1 - Final reports concerning the internal
	evaluation of the results: Evaluation Report of Project
	Meetings - Fourth Virtual Project meeting M14
Release date	06/01/2021
Version	Version 1
Dissemination Level	Public
Authors	WU – Régis Gourdel
Revised and Approved by	Prof. Silvia Romagnoli

INDEX

Introduction	3
Fourth project meeting – December 2020	4
Conclusions & next stens	ϵ



Version 1 2



Introduction

Evaluation of PM4
January 2021

In the context of WP10, Milestone 10.1, Deliverable 10.2, the current report addresses the consultations conducted on the fourth Project meeting.

The relevant KPIs for this milestone are:

- PI 10.1 All questionnaires have been delivered
- PI 10.2 Rate of responsiveness to questionnaires (above 80%: satisfactory/below 50%: unsatisfactory)
- PI 10.3 Satisfaction with the evaluation of the results reported by the partners (1 satisfactory 5 unsatisfactory)
- PI 10.4 Compliance with the project/evaluation plan and respect of the deadlines (1-5)



3

of the European Union



Fourth project meeting – December 2020

The fourth GrEnFin project meeting – comprising all partners – took place on the 14th and 15th of December 2020. The progress on seven working packages have been presented by partners, complemented by several organisational and ad hoc discussions.

Overall evaluation

Altogether, the results of the survey show that responding participants were satisfied with the meeting and happy for the opportunity to engage between partners. Accordingly, all average ratings given in individual sections were greater or equal to 4 (on a scale from 1 to 5).

Logistics

The feedback on logistics is good on all points. The two items that appear slightly weaker are the communication of material on the website and with other partners ahead of the meeting.




Figure 1: Average ratings on logistic-related points

Structure

The feedback on the structure is also overall positive. It emerges from comments that partners were happy to be given time to ask questions without strict time constraints, although suggesting in the meantime that some delays could have been better anticipated even at the cost of a longer planned schedule. This is in line with the ratings, where related items appear weaker than the rest.

Version 1








Figure 2: Average ratings on structure-related points

KPIs

- 10.1: achieved, all questionnaires were delivered.
- 10.2 rate of response: 14 questionnaires have been analysed, an improvement from 11 for the previous Project Meeting. That gives a rate of 70%, which is almost satisfactory. The rate is similar when looking at the representation of partners, with 10 institutions in the responses out of a total of 14 consortium members.
- 10.3: 1, there was a clear general satisfaction from the feedback given.
- 10.4: 2, a good overall compliance is observed, and delays are reasonable.

With the support of the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

Version 1 5



Conclusions & next steps

In conclusion, this project meeting appears to be in line with previous iterations. It boasts good overall evaluation by partners. Some previous suggestions have been taken into account and the response rate to the questionnaire has improved. The results still suggest that further fine tuning and better anticipation in the schedule of the event could be beneficial, so as to further streamline it.



Version 1 6

Greening Energy Market and Finance

































The information and views set out in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.

